

Derivation of Yang – Mills equations from Maxwell Equations and Exact solutions

G.M. Gharib

Mathematics Department, College of Science and Information Technology,
Zarqa University, Jordan

gharibmusa@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we derived the Yang-Mills equations from Maxwell equations. Consequently we find a new form for self-duality equations. In addition exact solution class of the classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field equations in four-dimensional Euclidean space and two exact solution classes for $SU(2)$ Yang- Mills equations when is ρ a complex analytic function are also obtained.

Keywords : Self-dual $SU(2)$; Yang-Mills fields; Gauge theory



"This research is funded by the Deanship of Research and Graduate Studies in Zarqa University/ Jordan"

Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: Journal of Advances in Mathematics

Vol 4, No 1

editor@cirworld.com

www.cirworld.com, member.cirworld.com



1. Introduction

The self-dual Yang-Mills equations (a system of equations for Lie algebra valued functions of C^4) play a central role in the field of integrable systems and also play a fundamental role in several other areas of mathematics and physics [1-4].

In addition the self-dual Yang-Mills equations are of great importance in their own right and have found a remarkable number of applications in physics and mathematics as well. These equations arise in the context of gauge theory [5], in classical general relativity [6,7], and can be used as a powerful tool in the analysis of 4-manifolds [8].

Non-Abelian gauge theories first appeared in the seminal work of Yang and Mills (1954) as a non-Abelian generalization of Maxwells equations [9]. The fact that the Yang-Mills equations have a natural geometric interpretation was recognized early on in the history of gauge theory [10,11].

The Yang-Mills equations are a set of coupled, second-order partial differential equations in four dimensions for the Lie algebra-valued gauge potential functions A_μ , and are extremely difficult to solve in general. The self-dual Yang-Mills equations describe a connection for a bundle over the Grassmannian of two-dimensional subspaces of the twister space [12,13].

A very important property of the theory of non-abelian gauge fields is that the action functional has local minima in the Euclidean domain with non-vanishing field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ [14]. The corresponding field configurations, which are often called pseudoparticles, have the self-dual or anti-self-dual field strength, and fall into topologically inequivalent classes labelled by an integer n , the Pontryagin index. The existence of these non-local minima was first pointed out by Belavin et al. (1975) who also exhibited the solution of the self-duality equation with $n = 1$ for an $SU(2)$ gauge group [15]. Solutions of the self-duality equations with an arbitrary number of pseudoparticles were discovered by Witten (1979) and 't Hooft (1979) [16].

In this paper we found a new representation for self-duality equations. In addition exact solution class of the classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field equations in four-dimensional Euclidean space and two exact solution classes for $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills equations when ρ is a complex analytic function are also obtained.

This paper is organized as follows: This introduction followed by the derivation of Yang – Mills equations from Maxwell Equations in section 2. A new representation of the self-duality equations in section 3. In section 4 we found an exact solution class of the classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field equations. Moreover two exact solution classes for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields on Euclidean space when ρ is a complex analytic function are given in section 5.

Derivation of Yang –Mills equations from Maxwell Equations

The classical equations of Maxwell describing electromagnetic phenomena are

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot E &= 4\pi\rho, & \nabla \times B &= 4\pi J + \frac{\partial E}{\partial t}, \\ \nabla \cdot B &= 0, & \nabla \times E &= -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t},\end{aligned}$$

We would like to formulate these equations in the language of differential forms. Let $x_\mu = (t, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ be local coordinates in Minkowski's space $M_{1,3}$. Define the Maxwell 2-form F by the equation

$$F = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \quad (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3), \quad (2)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -E_x & -E_y & -E_z \\ E_x & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ E_y & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ E_z & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Written in complete detail, Maxwell's 2-form is given by

$$\begin{aligned}F &= -E_x dt \wedge dx^1 - E_y dt \wedge dx^2 - E_z dt \wedge dx^3 + \\ &B_z dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - B_y dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + B_x dx^2 \wedge dx^3.\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We also define the source current 1-form

$$J = J_\mu dx^\mu = \rho dt + J_1 dx^1 + J_2 dx^2 + J_3 dx^3. \quad (5)$$

Proposition 1: Maxwell's Equations (1) are equivalent to the equations

$$dF = 0,$$



$$d * F = 4\pi * J. \quad (6)$$

Proof: The proof is by direct computation using the definitions of the exterior derivative and the Hodge-* operator.

$$\begin{aligned} dF = & -\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^2} \wedge dx^2 \wedge dt \wedge dx^1 - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^3} \wedge dx^3 \wedge dt \wedge dx^1 - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^1} \wedge dx^1 \wedge dt \wedge dx^2 + \\ & -\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^3} \wedge dx^3 \wedge dt \wedge dx^2 - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^1} \wedge dx^1 \wedge dt \wedge dx^3 - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^2} \wedge dx^2 \wedge dt \wedge dx^3 + \\ & \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} \wedge dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x^3} \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} \wedge dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \\ & -\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x^2} \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} \wedge dt \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x^1} \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting terms and using the anti-symmetry of the wedge operator, we get

$$\begin{aligned} dF = & \left(\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x^3} \right) dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \left(\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} \right) dx^2 \wedge dt \wedge dx^3 + \\ & \left(\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} \right) dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \left(\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} \right) dx^1 \wedge dt \wedge dx^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $dF = 0$ iff

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial x^3} = 0,$$

which is the same as

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial B_x}{\partial t} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial E_z}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^3} - \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial E_x}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial E_y}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} = 0,$$

which means that

$$-\nabla \times E - \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = 0, \quad (7)$$

To verify the second set of Maxwell equations, we first compute the dual of the current density 1-form (5) using the results of

$$* dt = -dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3$$

$$* dx^1 = dx^2 \wedge dt \wedge dx^3$$

$$* dx^2 = dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3$$

$$* dx^3 = dx^1 \wedge dt \wedge dx^2.$$

We get

$$* J = -\rho dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + J_1 dx^2 \wedge dt \wedge dx^3 + J_2 dt \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + J_3 dx^1 \wedge dt \wedge dx^2. \quad (8)$$

We could now proceed to compute $d * F$, but perhaps it is more elegant to notice that $F \in \Lambda^2(M)$, and F splits into $F = F^+ + F^-$. In fact, we see from (3) that the components of F^+ are those of $-E$ and the components of F^- constitute the magnetic field vector B . Using the above results, we can immediately write the components of $* F$:



$$F = B_x dt \wedge dx^1 + B_y dt \wedge dx^2 + B_z dt \wedge dx^3 + E_z dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - E_y dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + E_x dx^2 \wedge dx^3, \quad (9)$$

or equivalently,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B_x & B_y & B_z \\ -B_x & 0 & E_z & -E_y \\ -B_y & -E_z & 0 & E_x \\ -B_z & E_y & -E_x & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10)$$

Since the effect of the dual operator amounts to exchanging

$$E \mapsto -B$$

$$B \mapsto +E,$$

we can infer from equations (7) and (8) that

$$\nabla \cdot E = 4\pi\rho,$$

and

$$\nabla \times B - \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 4\pi J.$$

2. New form of the self-duality equations

The essential idea of Yang and Mills (1954) is to consider an analytic continuation of the gauge potential A_μ into complex space where x_1, x_2, x_3 and x_4 are complex. The self-duality equations $F_{\mu\nu} = {}^*F_{\mu\nu}$ are then valid also in complex space, in a region containing real space where the x 's are real. Now consider four new complex variables, \bar{y} , Z and \bar{Z} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2}\bar{y} &= x_1 + ix_2, & \sqrt{2}\bar{y} &= x_1 - ix_2, \\ \sqrt{2}Z &= x_3 - ix_4, & \sqrt{2}\bar{Z} &= x_3 + ix_4, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

it is simple to check that the self-duality equations $F_{\mu\nu} = {}^*F_{\mu\nu}$ reduces to

$$F_{yZ} = 0, \quad F_{\bar{y}\bar{Z}} = 0, \quad F_{y\bar{y}} + F_{Z\bar{Z}} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Equations (12) can be immediately integrated, since they are pure gauge, to give [17,18,19]

$$A_{\bar{y}} = D^{-1}D_y, \quad A_Z = D^{-1}D_{\bar{Z}}, \quad A_{\bar{y}} = \bar{D}^{-1}\bar{D}_{\bar{y}}, \quad A_{\bar{Z}} = \bar{D}^{-1}\bar{D}_{\bar{Z}}, \quad (13)$$

where D and \bar{D} are arbitrary 2×2 complex matrix functions of y, \bar{y}, Z and \bar{Z} with determinant = 1 (for $SU(2)$ gauge group) and $D_y = \partial_y D$, etc. For real gauge fields $A_\mu \doteq -A_\mu^+$ (the symbol \doteq is used for equations valid only for real values of x_1, x_2, x_3 and x_4), we require

$$\bar{D} \doteq (D^+)^{-1}. \quad (14)$$

Gauge transformations are the transformations

$$D \rightarrow U D, \quad \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D} U, \quad U^+ U \doteq I, \quad (15)$$

where U is a 2×2 matrix function of y, \bar{y}, Z, \bar{Z} with determinant = 1. Under transformation (15), equation (14) remains unchanged. We now define the hermitian matrix J as [20-22]

$$J \equiv D \bar{D}^{-1} \doteq D D^+. \quad (16)$$

J has the very important property of being invariant under the gauge transformation (15). The only nonvanishing field strengths in terms of J becomes

$$F_{u\bar{v}} = -\bar{D}^{-1} (J^{-1} J_u)_{\bar{v}}, \quad (17)$$

($u, v = y, Z$) and the remaining self-duality equation (12) takes the form:

$$(J^{-1} J_y)_{\bar{y}} + (J^{-1} J_Z)_{\bar{Z}} = 0. \quad (18)$$

The action density in terms of J [23] is



$$\phi(\mathcal{J}) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} = -2\text{Tr} (F_{y\bar{y}} F_{z\bar{z}} + F_{y\bar{z}} F_{\bar{y}z}), \quad (19)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - [A_\mu, A_\nu]. \quad (20)$$

Our construction begins by explicit parametrization of the matrix \mathcal{J}

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi & \rho \\ \bar{\rho} & \phi(1 + \rho\bar{\rho}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

and for real gauge fields $A_\mu \doteq -A_\mu^+$, we require $\phi \doteq \text{real}$, $\bar{\rho} \doteq \rho^*$ ($\rho^* \equiv$ complex conjugate of ρ). The self-duality equations (18) take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho\bar{\rho})\partial_\mu\partial_\mu \ln\phi + \frac{1}{2}\rho\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\rho} + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\phi}[\phi_y\rho_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\rho_{\bar{z}}] + \frac{\rho}{\phi}[\phi_{\bar{y}}\bar{\rho}_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\bar{\rho}_z] + \\ + [\rho_{\bar{y}}\bar{\rho}_y + \rho_{\bar{z}}\bar{\rho}_z] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{\phi}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\rho} + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{2\bar{\rho}}{\phi}(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) + (\phi_{\bar{y}}\bar{\rho}_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\bar{\rho}_z - \phi_{\bar{y}}\bar{\rho}_y - \phi_{\bar{z}}\bar{\rho}_z) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{\phi}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\rho + \frac{\rho}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{2\rho}{\phi}(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) + (\phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_z - \phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_{\bar{y}} - \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_{\bar{z}}) = 0, \quad (24)$$

where $\partial_\mu\partial_\mu = 2(\partial_y\partial_{\bar{y}} + \partial_z\partial_{\bar{z}})$.

The positive definite Hermitian matrix $\mathcal{J} = D D^+$ can be factored into a product upper and lower (or vice versa) triangular matrices as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &= R R^+ = R^I R^{I+}, \\ R &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi}} & 0 \\ \bar{\rho}\sqrt{\phi} & \sqrt{\phi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^I = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\phi^I} & \bar{\rho}^I\sqrt{\phi^I} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\phi^I}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \phi &\doteq \text{real}, \quad \bar{\rho} \doteq \rho^*, \quad \bar{\rho}^I \doteq \rho^{I*}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

It is evident from (25) that one can choose a gauge so that $D = R$ or $D = R^I$ and it is easy to check that in both gauges the self-duality equations (22)-(24) (in the case of $D = R^I$ all the $\phi, \rho, \bar{\rho}$ are replaced by $\phi^I, \rho^I, \bar{\rho}^I$).

From equation (2.25) we see that $R^{-1}R^I$ is a unitary matrix so that we can always make a gauge transformation from the R gauge to the R^I gauge.

Theorem 1: If $(\phi, \rho, \bar{\rho})$ satisfy equations (22)-(24) then so do $(\phi^I, \rho^I, \bar{\rho}^I)$ defined by [24]

$$\phi^I = \frac{\phi}{1 + \rho\bar{\rho}}, \quad \rho^I = \frac{\bar{\rho}}{1 + \rho\bar{\rho}}, \quad \bar{\rho}^I = \frac{\rho}{1 + \rho\bar{\rho}},$$

3. Exact solution class of the classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field equations

To obtain an exact solution class of the classical $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills field equations in four-dimensional Euclidean space, consider the system.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho\bar{\rho})\partial_\mu\partial_\mu \ln\phi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\rho}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\rho + \frac{\rho}{\phi}[\phi_{\bar{y}}\bar{\rho}_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\bar{\rho}_z] + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\phi}[\phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_z] + \\ + [\bar{\rho}_y\rho_{\bar{y}} + \bar{\rho}_z\rho_{\bar{z}}] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\phi}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\rho + \frac{\rho}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{2\rho}{\phi}(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) + (\phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_z - \phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_{\bar{y}} - \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_{\bar{z}}) = 0.$$

Let us make the ansatz [25]

$$\phi = \phi(g), \quad \rho = e^{ia} \sigma(g). \quad (27)$$



Where $g = g(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a real function of x_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$, ϕ and σ are real functions of g , and a is a real constant. Then equation (26) give the relations

$$(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}})((1 + \sigma^2)\phi^2)' - 2(g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})\phi^2[(1 + \sigma^2)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} + \sigma\sigma']' = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}})(\phi\sigma)' + (g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})\phi^2\left(\frac{(\phi\sigma)'}{\phi^2}\right)' = 0. \quad (29)$$

Where the prime means differentiation with respect to g . The above relations imply that the determinant of the coefficients of $(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}})$ and $(g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})$ is zero i.e.

$$((1 + \sigma^2)\phi^2)' \left(\frac{(\phi\sigma)'}{\phi^2}\right)' + 2[(1 + \sigma^2)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} + \sigma\sigma']'(\phi\sigma)' = 0. \quad (30)$$

We shall determine ϕ and σ from the above equation (30), let $(\phi\sigma) = c$, where c is constant, then $(\phi\sigma)' = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((1 + \sigma^2)\phi^2)' \left(\frac{(\phi\sigma)'}{\phi^2}\right)' &= 0, \\ [(1 + \sigma^2)\frac{\phi'}{\phi} + \sigma\sigma']'(\phi\sigma)' &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

We suppose

$$\phi = \sqrt{c}e^{-g}, \quad \sigma = \sqrt{c}e^g, \quad \text{then } \rho = \sqrt{c}e^{g+ia}. \quad (32)$$

Applying theorem (1) to ϕ and ρ of equation (32), then we get

$$\phi^I = \frac{\sqrt{c}e^{-g}}{1+ce^{2g}}, \quad \rho^I = \frac{\sqrt{c}e^{g-ia}}{1+ce^{2g}}, \quad \bar{\rho}^I = \frac{\sqrt{c}e^{g+ia}}{1+ce^{2g}}. \quad (33)$$

Equations (32) and (33) is a new class of solutions of Yang-Mills equations for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields.

4. Exact solutions for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields on Euclidean space when ρ is a complex analytic function

We reduce the equations for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields on Euclidean space to the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(1 + \rho\bar{\rho})\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\ln\phi + \frac{1}{2}\rho\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\bar{\rho} + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\phi}[\phi_y\rho_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\rho_{\bar{z}}] + \frac{\rho}{\phi}[\phi_y\bar{\rho}_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\bar{\rho}_{\bar{z}}] + \\ + [\bar{\rho}_y\rho_{\bar{y}} + \bar{\rho}_z\rho_{\bar{z}}] &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\phi}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\rho + \frac{\rho}{2}\partial_\mu\partial_\mu\phi - \frac{2\rho}{\phi}(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) + (\phi_{\bar{y}}\rho_y + \phi_{\bar{z}}\rho_z - \phi_y\rho_{\bar{y}} - \phi_z\rho_{\bar{z}}) = 0.$$

When ρ is a complex analytic function of y and z , then we have

$$\rho_{\bar{y}} = \rho_{\bar{z}} = 0, \quad \rho_y\bar{y} + \rho_z\bar{z} = 0. \quad (35)$$

Then, the self-dual Yang-Mills equations (34) takes the form

$$\phi(\phi_y\bar{y} + \phi_z\bar{z}) - (\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\rho(\phi_y\bar{y} + \phi_z\bar{z}) - \frac{2\rho}{\phi}(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) + (\rho_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \rho_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) = 0. \quad (37)$$

We consider now two cases:

(a) Let $\rho = \rho(\phi)$, then we find

$$\rho_y = \rho'\phi_y, \quad \rho_z = \rho'\phi_z. \quad (38)$$

Then the two equations (36) and (37) becomes

$$\phi(\phi_y\bar{y} + \phi_z\bar{z}) - (\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\rho(\phi_y\bar{y} + \phi_z\bar{z}) + \left(\rho' - \frac{2\rho}{\phi}\right)(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) = 0. \quad (40)$$



If we do not consider the case $(\phi_{y\bar{y}} + \phi_{z\bar{z}}) = 0$ and $(\phi_y\phi_{\bar{y}} + \phi_z\phi_{\bar{z}}) = 0$, then we have

$$\phi\rho' - \rho = 0, \quad (41)$$

by integration we obtain

$$\rho = c\phi, \quad \text{where } c \text{ is complex constant.} \quad (42)$$

Both equations (39) and (40) reduce to the same equation. A solution is given by

$$\phi_y = \phi_z, \quad \phi_{\bar{y}} = -\phi_{\bar{z}}. \quad (43)$$

The solution class is given by [26]

$$\phi = F(\mathcal{Y} + \mathcal{Z}, \bar{\mathcal{Y}} - \bar{\mathcal{Z}}), \quad (44)$$

where F is an arbitrary function, equations (42) and (44) gives a new class of solutions of Yang-Mills equations for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields. Applying theorem (1) to ϕ and ρ of equations (42) and (44) then we get

$$\phi^I = \frac{F}{1+c\bar{c}F^2}, \quad \rho^I = \frac{\bar{c}F}{1+c\bar{c}F^2}, \quad \bar{\rho}^I = \frac{cF}{1+c\bar{c}F^2}. \quad (45)$$

(b) Let us make the ansatz

$$\phi = \phi(g), \quad \rho = e^{ia}\sigma(g). \quad (46)$$

Where $g = g(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is a real function of x_μ , $\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4$, ϕ and σ are real functions of g , and a is a real constant. Then equation (36) and (37) gives the relations

$$\phi\phi'(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}}) + (g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})[\phi\phi'' - \phi'^2] = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$\sigma\phi'(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}}) + (g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})\left(\sigma\phi'' - \frac{2\sigma\phi'^2}{\phi} + \phi'\sigma'\right) = 0. \quad (48)$$

Where the prime means differentiation with respect to g . The above relations imply that the determinant of the coefficients of $(g_{y\bar{y}} + g_{z\bar{z}})$ and $(g_y g_{\bar{y}} + g_z g_{\bar{z}})$ is zero i.e.

$$\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} = \frac{\phi'}{\phi}, \quad (49)$$

by integration (49) we obtain

$$\sigma(g) = c\phi(g), \quad \rho = ce^{ia}\phi(g). \quad (50)$$

Applying theorem (1) to ϕ and ρ of equation (50), then we get

$$\phi^I = \frac{\phi(g)}{1+c^2\phi^2(g)}, \quad \rho^I = \frac{ce^{-ia}\phi(g)}{1+c^2\phi^2(g)}, \quad \bar{\rho}^I = \frac{ce^{ia}\phi(g)}{1+c^2\phi^2(g)}. \quad (51)$$

Equations (50) and (51) is a new class of solutions of Yang-Mills equations for self-dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields.

References:

- [1] A.H. Khater, D.K. Callebaut, A.A. Abdalla and S.M. Sayed, Exact solutions for self-dual Yang-Mills Equations, Chaos Solitons and Fractals 10 (1999)1309.
- [2] Khater, A. H., Callebaut, D. K., Abdalla, A. A., Shehata A. R. and Sayed, S. M. (1999b), Bäcklund Transformations and Exact solutions for self-dual $SU(3)$ Yang-Mills Equations IL Nuovo Cimento B114, 1.
- [3] Khater, A. H. and Sayed, S. M. (2002), Exact Solutions for Self-Dual $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Yang Mills Fields, International J. of Theoretical Physics, 41, 409.
- [4] Ablowitz, M. J., Chakravarty, S. and Halburd, R. (2003), Integrable systems and reductions of the self-dual Yang Mills equations, J. Math. Phys.44, 3147.
- [5] Rajaraman, R. (1989), Solitons and Instantons: An Introduction to Solitons and Instantons in Quantum Field Theory (North-Holland, Amsterdam).
- [6] Mason, L. J. and Newman, E. T. (1989), A connection between the Einstein and Yang-Mills equations, Commun. Math. Phys. 121, 659.
- [7] Witten, L. (1979), Static axially symmetric solutions of self dual $SU(2)$ gauge fields in Euclidean four-dimensional space, Phys. Rev. D19, 718.



- [8] Donaldson, S. K. (1983), An application of gauge theory to the topology of 4 manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*18, 269.
- [9] Yang, C. N. and Mills, R. L. (1954), Conservation of isotopic spin and isotopic gauge invariance, *phys. Rev.*96,194 .
- [10] Zakharov, V. E. and Shabat, A. B. (1972), Exact theory of two-dimensional self focusing and one-dimensional self - modulation of waves in nonlinear Media, *Soviet Phys. JETP* 34,62.
- [11] Ablowitz, M. J., Kaup, D. J., Newell, A. C. and Segur, H. (1973), Nonlinear evolution equations of physical significance, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*31,125.
- [12] Corrigan, E. (1979), Self dual solutions to Euclidean Yang-Mills equations, *Phys. Rep.* 49, 95.
- [13] Sayed, S. M. and G.M. Gharib, G. M. (2009), Canonical reduction of Self-Dual Yang-Mills equations to Fitzhugh-Nagumo equation and exact solutions, *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* 39, 492.
- [14] Ablowitz, M. J. and Clarkson, P. A. (1991), *Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scattering* (Cambridge University Press).
- [15] Gharib, G. M. (2012), Surfaces of a Constant Negative Curvature, *International Journal of Differential Equations*, Volume 2012, Article ID 720687.
- [16] 't Hooft, G. (1979), Computation of the quantum effects due to a fourdimensional pseudoparticle, *Phys. Rev. D*14, 3432.
- [17] Chau, L. L. and Yamanaka, I. (1992), Canonical formulation of the self-dual Yang-Mills system: Algebras and Hierarchies, *phys. Rev. Lett.*68,1807.
- [18] Chau, L. L. and Yamanaka, I. (1993), Quantization of the self-dual Yang- Mills system: Exchange Algebras and local Quantum group in four-dimensional Quantum field theories, *phys. Rev. Lett.*70,1916.
- [19] Ge, M. L., Wang, L. and Wu, Y. S. (1994), Canonical reduction of self-dual Yang-Mills theory to sine-Gordon and Liouville theories, *phys. Lett. B* 335,136.
- [20] Corrigan, E., Fairlie, D., Goddard, P. and Yates, R. G. (1978), The construction of self-dual solutions to SU(2) gauge theory, *Communs. Math. Phys.* 58, 223.
- [21] Corrigan, E., Goddard, P. and Templeton, S. (1979), Instanton Green functions and tensor products, *Nucl. Phys. B*151, 93.
- [22] Corrigan, E., Devchand, C., Fairlie, D. and Nuyts, J. (1983), First order equations for gauge theories in dimension greater than four, *Nucl. Phys. B*214,452.
- [23] Corrigan, E., Hasslacher, B. (1979), A functional equation for exponential loop integrals in gauge theories, *Phys. Letts.* B81, 181.
- [24] Prasad, M. K. (1980), Instantons and Monopoles in Yang-Mills gauge field theories, *Physica D*1, 167.
- [25] Kyriakopoulos, E. (1980), Solutions of the Yang - Mills field equations, *Phys. Lett.* B95,409.
- [26] Sayed, S. M. (2013), The Bäcklund Transformations, Exact Solutions, and Conservation Laws for the Compound Modified Korteweg-de Vries-Sine-Gordon Equations which describe Pseudospherical Surfaces, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 2013, 1.